

# An “Almost Exact” Simulation Method for the Heston Model

Robert Smith  
Global Structuring

Madrid, October 18, 2006

# Contents

---

- 1. The Heston Model**
- 2. An Exact Simulation Method**
- 3. An Almost Exact Simulation Method**
- 4. Conclusions and Extensions**

# The Heston Model

$$dF_t = \sqrt{V_t} F_t dW_1$$

Variance (not volatility)

$$dV_t = \underbrace{\kappa_t (\theta_t - V_t)}_{\text{mean reverting term}} dt + \sigma_t \sqrt{V_t} dW_2$$

Volatility of variance

Long term variance

$$dW_1 dW_2 = \rho_t dt$$

Mean reversion rate

Correlation between changes in variance and forward

- In Fourier Space, two dimensional Heston PDE becomes one dimensional

=> Vanilla prices have closed form solutions

## The Heston Model (II)

---

- Pricing involves numerically integrating analytical solutions of Riccati Equations.
- Time dependent case (with piecewise constant parameters) is no more complicated than the flat case!!!
- The Riccati Equations must be solved in sections of time in which the parameters are constant, working backwards from maturity.
- Computational time  $\approx$  number of time sections \* time to calculate flat Heston.

# Contents

---

1. The Heston Model
- 2. An Exact Simulation Method**
3. An Almost Exact Simulation Method
4. Conclusions and Extensions

# An Exact Simulation Method

---

- **Black Scholes has analytical solution for SDE**  
**=> we can “long jump” in Monte Carlo**

$$S_t = S_0 e^{\left(r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right)t + \sigma\sqrt{t}N(0,1)}$$

The diagram illustrates the decomposition of the Black-Scholes formula into two steps. Two red arcs connect the terms in the exponent. The first arc is labeled "fixing" at its ends and "N(0,1)" above it. The second arc is also labeled "fixing" at its ends and "N(0,1)" above it.

- **In Stochastic Vol Models we have to do many little timesteps (SLOW!)**
- **Much research on better discretisation methods than Euler (e.g. Predictor-Corrector, Milstein...)**
- **Broadie and Kaya presented an “Exact Simulation Method” in their paper of 2003**

# An Exact Simulation Method (II)

---

Advantages of an exact simulation method are

- We need only simulate the dates of importance for the financial product we are modeling.
- The simulations have no bias in the error so we can construct valid Confidence Intervals
- The Order of Convergence improves from  $\frac{1}{3}$  to  $\frac{1}{2}$
- Greeks can be calculated using the same “tricks” as with Black-Scholes model because the forward price is lognormal conditional upon the path of the variance

# An Exact Simulation Method (III)

$$F_t = F_u \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \int_u^t \check{V}_s ds + \rho \int_u^t \sqrt{\check{V}_s} dW_s^{(1)} + \sqrt{1-\rho^2} \int_u^t \sqrt{\check{V}_s} dW_s^{(2)} \right]$$

$$\check{V}_t = \check{V}_u + \kappa \theta (t-u) - \kappa \int_u^t \check{V}_s ds + \sigma \int_u^t \sqrt{\check{V}_s} dW_s^{(1)}$$

$$dW_s^{(1)} dW_s^{(2)} = 0$$

- Generate a sample from the distribution of  $V_t$  given  $V_u$
- Generate a sample from the distribution of  $\int_u^t V_s ds$  given  $V_t$  and  $V_u$
- Recover  $\int_u^t \sqrt{V_s} dW_s^{(1)}$  from the above equation given  $V_t$ ,  $V_u$  and  $\int_u^t V_s ds$
- Generate a sample from the distribution of  $F_t$  given  $\int_u^t \sqrt{V_s} dW_s^{(1)}$  and  $\int_u^t V_s ds$



# An Exact Simulation Method (IV)

---

- 1) Generate a sample from the distribution of  $V_t$  given  $V_u$ 
  - The distribution is known and is a non-central chi-squared distribution
  - Samples can be generated using combinations of Poisson, Gamma and Normal variates
  - Sankaran (1963) shows that one can transform a non-central chi squared variate into an approximately normal variate. We use this to calculate confidence intervals for  $V_t$  which we use in the next section.

# An Exact Simulation Method (V)

---

2) Generate a sample from the distribution of  $\int_u^t V_s ds$  given  $V_t$  and  $V_u$

- **Brute force method:** the CDF is given by a numerical integral of the known characteristic function of the distribution. We can generate samples from uniform deviates by inverting the CDF using Newton's method.
- The characteristic function is computationally expensive as it involves modified Bessel functions of the first kind with a complex argument
- We should think about caching as much as we can!

# An Exact Simulation Method (VI)

The characteristic function is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(a; V_u, V_t) &= \frac{\gamma(a) e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\gamma(a)-\kappa)(t-u)} (1 - e^{-\kappa(t-u)})}{\kappa(1 - e^{-\gamma(a)(t-u)})} \\ &\times \exp \left\{ \frac{V_u + V_t}{\sigma^2} \left[ \frac{\kappa(1 + e^{-\kappa(t-u)})}{1 - e^{-\kappa(t-u)}} - \frac{\gamma(a)(1 + e^{-\gamma(a)(t-u)})}{1 - e^{-\gamma(a)(t-u)}} \right] \right\} \\ &\times \frac{I_{0.5d-1} \left[ \sqrt{V_u V_t} \frac{4\gamma(a) e^{-0.5\gamma(a)(t-u)}}{\sigma^2 (1 - e^{-\gamma(a)(t-u)})} \right]}{I_{0.5d-1} \left[ \sqrt{V_u V_t} \frac{4\kappa e^{-0.5\kappa(t-u)}}{\sigma^2 (1 - e^{-\kappa(t-u)})} \right]} \end{aligned}$$

Bessel functions of the first kind with complex argument

## An Exact Simulation Method (VII)

---

3) Recover  $\int_u^t \sqrt{V_s} dW_s^{(1)}$  from the above equation given

$V_t, V_u$  and  $\int_u^t V_s ds$

$$\int_u^t \sqrt{V_s} dW_s^{(1)} = \left( \frac{1}{\sigma} \right) \left( V_t - V_u - \kappa \theta (t - u) + \kappa \int_u^t V_s ds \right)$$

# An Exact Simulation Method (VIII)

---

4) Generate a sample from the distribution of  $F_t$  given

$$\int_u^t \sqrt{V_s} dW_s^{(1)} \text{ and } \int_u^t V_s ds$$

- Conditional on  $\int_u^t \sqrt{V_s} dW_s^{(1)}$  and  $\int_u^t V_s ds$   $F_t$  is lognormal

with drift rate

$$\hat{\mu} = -\frac{1}{2t} \int_u^t V_s ds + \frac{\rho}{t} \int_u^t \sqrt{V_s} dW_s^{(1)}$$

and volatility

$$\hat{\sigma} = \sqrt{\frac{1-\rho^2}{t}} \sqrt{\int_u^t V_s ds}$$

# Contents

---

1. The Heston Model
2. An Exact Simulation Method
- 3. An Almost Exact Simulation Method**
4. Conclusions and Extensions

# An *Almost* Exact Simulation Method

---

- The Exact Simulation Method is only appropriate for options which depend on only one future point in time because the characteristic function otherwise depends on three variables,  $a$ ,  $V_t$  and  $V_u$ . We cannot efficiently cache the characteristic function in three dimensions.
- If we look closely at the characteristic function we notice that it depends on  $V_t$  and  $V_u$  via their arithmetic and geometric mean which, at least in expectation, are approximately equal...

# An Almost Exact Simulation Method (II)

The characteristic function is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Phi(a; V_u, V_t) &= \frac{\gamma(a) e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\gamma(a)-\kappa)(t-u)} (1 - e^{-\kappa(t-u)})}{\kappa(1 - e^{-\gamma(a)(t-u)})} && \text{Arithmetic mean} \\
 &\times \exp \left\{ \frac{2}{\sigma^2} \frac{V_u + V_t}{2} \left[ \frac{\kappa(1 + e^{-\kappa(t-u)})}{1 - e^{-\kappa(t-u)}} - \frac{\gamma(a)(1 + e^{-\gamma(a)(t-u)})}{1 - e^{-\gamma(a)(t-u)}} \right] \right\} \\
 &\times \frac{I_{0.5d-1} \left[ \frac{\sqrt{V_u V_t} 4\gamma(a) e^{-0.5\gamma(a)(t-u)}}{\sigma^2 (1 - e^{-\gamma(a)(t-u)})} \right]}{I_{0.5d-1} \left[ \frac{\sqrt{V_u V_t} 4\kappa e^{-0.5\kappa(t-u)}}{\sigma^2 (1 - e^{-\kappa(t-u)})} \right]} && \text{Geometric mean}
 \end{aligned}$$



## An Almost Exact Simulation Method (III)

---

- The idea is to replace both the geometric mean and the arithmetic mean by a weighted average of the two

$$z = \omega \frac{1}{2} (V_u + V_t) + (1 - \omega) \sqrt{V_u V_t}$$

- If the method is a good one we should find that the results are virtually independent of  $\omega$ .
- In fact, observed differences for values of  $\omega$  between 0 and 1 were in the order of a fraction of a basis point for options up to 5 years maturity.

# An Almost Exact Simulation Method (IV)

The characteristic function is now given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(a, z) &= \frac{\gamma(a) e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\gamma(a)-\kappa)(t-u)} (1 - e^{-\kappa(t-u)})}{\kappa (1 - e^{-\gamma(a)(t-u)})} \\ &\times \exp \left\{ \frac{2}{\sigma^2} \left[ \frac{\kappa (1 + e^{-\kappa(t-u)})}{1 - e^{-\kappa(t-u)}} - \frac{\gamma(a) (1 + e^{-\gamma(a)(t-u)})}{1 - e^{-\gamma(a)(t-u)}} \right] \right\} \\ &\times \frac{I_{0.5d-1} \left[ \frac{4\gamma(a) e^{-0.5\gamma(a)(t-u)}}{\sigma^2 (1 - e^{-\gamma(a)(t-u)})} \right]}{I_{0.5d-1} \left[ \frac{4\kappa e^{-0.5\kappa(t-u)}}{\sigma^2 (1 - e^{-\kappa(t-u)})} \right]} \end{aligned}$$

and can be efficiently cached in two dimensions.

# An Almost Exact Simulation Method (V)

---

- We have managed to take the heavy work out of the Monte Carlo loop. Now the overhead does not depend on the number of simulations.
- Most importantly, we can now apply the method to options which depend on several points in time (very common in Equity).
- We can improve our approximation by using knowledge of the first two moments of the true distribution. (Requires only a few evaluations of the characteristic function.)
- We use the true and approximate means and variances to shift and scale our approximate distribution. In this way we ensure to match the first two moments of the true distribution.

# Contents

---

1. The Heston Model
2. An Exact Simulation Method
3. An Almost Exact Simulation Method
4. Conclusions and Extensions

# Conclusions and Extensions

---

- **We can calibrate the time dependent Heston model as efficiently as the flat Heston model.**
- **The Almost Exact Simulation method outperforms other published discretisation methods. It has virtually no bias. Techniques for simulating the Greeks can be used unchanged.**
- **It is relatively straightforward to apply the Almost Exact Simulation method to some other well known jump processes.**

# References

---

**Broadie, M., Kaya, Ö (2003), Exact Simulation of Stochastic Volatility and other Affine Jump Diffusion Processes**

**Broadie, M., Kaya, Ö (2004), Exact Simulation of Option Greeks Under Stochastic Volatility and Jump Diffusion Models, Proceedings of the 2004 Winter Simulation Conference**

**Glasserman, P. (2003), Monte Carlo Methods in Financial Engineering, Springer**

**Heston, S. (1993), A Closed-Form Solution of Options with Stochastic Volatility and Applications to Bond and Currency Options, The Review of Financial Studies, Vol. 6, No. 2, 327-343**

**Jäckel, P., Kahl, C. (2005), Fast Strong Approximation Monte Carlo Schemes for Stochastic Volatility Models, <http://www.jaeckel.org>**

**Lewis, A. L., Option Valuation Under Stochastic Volatility (2000), Finance Press**

**Mikhailov, S., Nögel, U. (2005), Heston's Stochastic Volatility Model Implementation, Calibration and Some Extensions, Wilmott Magazine, 74-79**

**Sankaran, M. (1963), Approximations to the Non-Central Chi-Square Distribution, Biometrika, 50(1/2), 199-204 Schmitz : Klaus, E., Schmitz, A. (2004), Strong Taylor Schemes for Stochastic Volatility, Department of Mathematics, University of Oxford**

**Robert Smith (Head of Quantitative Analysis)**

**[rdsmith@gruposantander.com](mailto:rdsmith@gruposantander.com)**